



Meeting called by:	Mark Cannon	Type of meeting:	General Meeting
Secretary:	Vicki Marone		
Attendees:	Matthew Lachman	*	

AGENDA ITEMS

Topic	Presenter	Time (min.)
<p>1. <b>Call to Order and Opening Roll Call</b>  Gupta: meeting called to order at 8:20</p> <p>Swearing in of two new reps: Pankaj Khurana and Shadiyah Hanes.  Gavin: swears them in.</p>		
<p>2. <b>Adoption of the Agenda</b>  Gupta: objections to adoption of the agenda? None.</p>		
<p>3. <b>Adoption of the past General Meeting Minutes (10-7-09)</b>  Gupta: objections to past meeting minutes? None.</p>		
<p>4. <b>Open Student Forum</b>  Gupta: any students for open student forum? None.</p>		
<p>5. <b>Report by the President</b>  Gavin: Not too much to report, very happy to have the new reps on board, thanks Chris Caswell for coordinating that. We know have all four councils of Greek organizations represented so we're happy to have that. I know I wasn't here last week, I was sick, but now I'm back in action. I want to talk a little about highlands loop. Basically the status of that is we had some conversations last week about that with Damon Sims and other people, can't say I was overly pleased with those, a lot was idea around increasing safety that's a great idea, but they want to increase the white loop but not a bus loop any further into their neighborhood. We're going to take a deep dive into statistics especially around sexual assault in those areas, because we still think it's a worthwhile need. This Thursday I'll take a walk around the neighborhood at nighttime to get some more info. We have facilities fee board meeting in two weeks, one of the proposals brought up is my Mary for a smart game room where corner pocket currently exists. It could be a worthwhile service. We'll look at that as far as facilities fee board. As far as that, I know that Chris Smith has been working to get medical amnesty party up and running, but that's it. In the coming weeks I'll have more updates about search for judicial affairs director, we need to keep moving on. Tonight we have two reps brought to the assembly, pleased with both of them, it's not often we get such high caliber candidates, especially mid season. I just want to disclose that we're almost at half waypoint of this student government year. We have November, then two week in December, so if you want to do anything this year, you need to get moving right now. After December it's elections season. I think we're moving in the right direction but we really need to get some good stuff in the making, I just want to let you know that time's ticking and this year is almost over, our time here is limited. Any questions? None.</p>	Gavin Keirans	10
<p>6. <b>Special Presentations</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o (Don't forget: yearbook picture at 7:45)</li> </ul>	La Vie	20
<p>7. <b>Old Business (none)</b></p>		
<p>8. <b>New Business (none)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Confirmation of Josh Crawford – Off Campus Representative  Crawford: Hi everyone, I'm a sophomore crime law and justice major. I'm the student affairs director for ccs, this is first year at UP, I come from greater Allegheny, and there I was student affairs director too. I am from Boston Massachusetts, I've been working with Christian and his committee from ccs perspective, if anyone has any questions please ask.  Wallace: who do you like better ccs or upua? Who would you play football for?  Crawford: I think I'm going to play for UPUA.  Holmes: is it true you hold the national bench press record?  Crawford: at 18, I benched 430 lbs, which was a record at the time. I won states, regional's, and placed second at nationals.  Pellicciotta: do you foresee any time management issues being in upua and ccs?  Crawford: absolutely not because I've been to every assembly and student life meeting since school started, so I've planned for it already.  Pellicciotta: do you have any ideas as far as what you're going to do?  Crawford: I feel there's a disconnect between off campus and on campus students, I'd like to bridge that gap, it's a goal I have in mind. And since we give out Nobel peace prizes for ideas now I think that's good. There are also a lot of landlord tenant issues that need to be addressed.  Gupta: could you please step out for a few minutes?</li> </ul>	Christian Ragland	

Discussion:

Ragland: I get emotional when I talk about Josh. But definitely, there's nothing to say, he's the ultimate package, he's been at every committee meeting, every assembly meeting, really has a passion for student life and diversity, and has made his presence known. Definitely encourage everyone to support him, he's definitely what upua needs, he's the man.

Boyer: how many people applied for this position?

Cannon: three.

Bello: Josh tries to introduce new ideas, and encourage us to do good things, he's trying to work hard, so I think he's a good candidate.

Dove: Not to be a Debby downer, but I think I also have a few issues with this nomination. There were four people that applied, but three people were interviewed. She was notified on Saturday that there was her interview on Sunday, and she couldn't make it, but steering didn't care. Josh has a lot of involvement on campus, he's ccsj director of student affairs, but do we really need someone who already has a position in ccsj, knows what he's doing in upua, why can't we branch out to more people, wait a week and interview more people. Four people is not adequate in my opinion, I just have problems with Josh being in ccsj and upua, as everyone knows committee meetings is not where most of the work is done, its mostly done outside. I do have questions for his time commitment. I don't want someone who is only going to be able to put in minimal effort. I object to the entire process, I think we should wait and interview more people. I just don't agree with the process.

Paseka: I'm not just speaking from a personal point of view, I understand where you're coming from, but I think that in general even if people were interviewed, Josh is the best person for this position. He's been here every week at every meeting, and when he says that he can do it, he can do it. He makes the efforts. I don't think the whole ccsj upua things is an issue. I understand where you're coming from, but at this point steering has seen that Josh has done a great job, Christian has seen that he's done a great job, and I've seen it from a ccsj perspective too.

Bonewell: could you say more about what Dustin is saying?

Cannon: I let steering know that there was someone who couldn't make meeting, and steering voted to fill the spot

Ragland: Josh turned in application at the beginning of school, and he's been waiting since then, so his application was out there for a month, and he's been waiting every day, I just wanted to mention that.

Pellicciotta: the person who was not able to be interviewed put in her application two weeks before steering, and also what efforts were put into outreach, can you tell me what we did, and what we can do to improve it?

Cannon: No one is set in charge of advertising for it. Mark Walsh put it on the website, there's nothing set up as far as actual PR. I did however ask Chris to do PR with it, I don't know what he did. Do I think it was enough time, yes. Do I think the outreach could have been better, yes. To make it better, I'm not sure, if there's enough of a problem that it needs to be fixed, then someone would write legislation.

Bonewell: I didn't even know we had a spot open, that makes me look bad, but if I don't know, the university doesn't know. So three applicants isn't that great. It seems like unless you knew someone on assembly or were checking the website, you weren't going to know about the position.

Cannon: my report, I have said every week since spot opened up that it was available.

Dove: obviously we don't have enough applications. I don't think Josh is the best person, why put somebody already on ccsj on upua. Why can't he collaborate, why do we have to integrate. Of course Josh knows what he's talking about, he knows student government, why should we know someone already involved another positions. It's taking the power and putting it in fewer hands.

Okkleberg: when Josh first came up I was really impressed with him. I don't want upua turning into the buddy system, you could pick four groups on campus, and eliminate everyone on upua right now. Like Dustin said making the group smaller and smaller is bad.

Wilson: I think it's important to add that TJ and myself found out ourselves by looking on the website and emailing Gavin, so I don't think that's an issue because if you want it and you're looking for it, then you'll get it.

Boyer: I Like Josh, but from what's Dustin's saying I have some problems with the way this was done. I have nothing against Josh. As far as from what Chris Nock did to publicize it, if I weren't at these meetings I wouldn't have known about it. I would think that in the future we should publicize more, to get more talent to pull from. With that said, I move to end debate.

Dove: I object. Is there any way we could table the nomination. I motion to table the nomination.

Gupta: vote by show of hands to end debate. Debate ended by 27-10-0.

Dove: motion to reconsider the vote.

Gupta: please vote again on ending debate. 19-16-1 debates are ended.

Gupta: now we'll vote by role. Passes 22-6-9.

○ Confirmation of Andrew Ott – At Large Representative

Ott: I'm a senior marketing major from Abington PA. I start interest in gov't at young age. IN second grade I was in charge of the class. In high school I was in student gov't. I'm interest in becoming part of upua. So any questions?

Boyer: how tall are you?

Ott: I'm 6'10" with no shoes on.

Pellicciotta: what other time commitments do you have?

Ott: I'm on the basketball team, that's my only other time commitment. I'm an expert at time management

Ragland: we're taking a lot of initiative with sportsmanship this year, would you be able to help?

Ott: yes absolutely. earlier this year, I was at united way day of care, and I think that's a great idea, I'd love to get involved.

Lombard: what's your availability on Wednesday night during out meetings.

Ott: I'll be available all the time, only not during an away game if I'm not in the state. But I know all the work is not done at meetings.

Dove: do you know how many Wednesday nights right now you'd miss?

Ott: I think only one or two as of right now.

Gupta: could you please step out.

Discussion:

Ragland: I had the opportunity to work with saab, and before I even told them the only thing that kept coming up was Andrew Ott, before they even knew he wanted to get involved with UPUA. He definitely has right leadership capabilities.

Loewner: I don't support this nomination because I think he'll miss more than one or two meetings, I think he'll be out of town extensively, it's a lot of travel. When I looked it up I think it was seven Wednesday games this year. Also committee meetings held throughout the week, and work done outside of assembly and meetings, that's a giant extra curricular that I think he would not be able to balance. Also I just have some questions about how he can represent the entire student body, every vote we cast here is valuable, we're half way through the upua year, so I think that missing a chunk of the coming meetings would be severely detrimental.

Smith: I wanted to make you aware that Andrew submitted his application the very first week of September, and ever since then he's been making weekly inquiries about the status of this application, there's no doubt that he'll make this the forefront of this platter, we all have a ton of other activities, yet I still make upua number 1 in my list of priorities. On top of that being a student athlete would bring a new and unique perspective to assembly, we have no student athletic liaison role or anything, he'll be repping them too, and that's something new that he'll bring to assembly. I strongly encourage him to vote for Andrew Ott.

Byrne: I'd like to clarify Sam's point. The psu basketball team has three away games on Wednesdays this season.

Bello: how many students applied for this position?

Cannon: 3.

Boggs: Nate Andrews is a student athlete, and he's involved with UPUA.

Andrews: as a member of the varsity wrestling team, I think it would not be in the best interest of assembly to not consider someone's application just because he's on an athletic team.

Holmes: I've known Andrew for a while, he has a strong work ethic, he really will never talk about the basketball team, he wants to know about what others are doing, he's a very good guy, they usually wake up 5:30-6 for conditioning. I think he's be great for this position, I think sometimes we argue about nitty gritty things too much. Looking at this all around I think putting a 6'10" respected guy in the position is a great idea

Wallace: from steering, of the three candidates interviewed, it was agreed upon that Andrew was the best.

Pellicciotta: he seems like a great guy, but we're never going to see him, and he'll never be here to represent his constituents. There are three away games, and the rest are home games that start at 6:30. He seems great and qualified, but I think we should wait another week to have more applicants.

Dove: we got three people who applied for this, that's not enough. That's not acceptable in my opinion. We should wait another week. I'm not against him, but we need more applicants.

Boyer: I have student basketball tickets, and I'm 90% sure there's only 2 home games on Wednesdays in the spring.

Bonewell: I don't object to him personally, I think that the process, when I applied there was a lot of media publicity, yes I ran unopposed but that happened all the publicity occurred. We didn't really do anything and only got 3 applicants, with the freshman position we got a ton, so we should to that again.

Gavin: there have been a lot of points about how we can do it better. That being said, since I've been president, having 6 applicants for two positions is really the par. For this year, two have 6 applicants for 2 positions within a week, it's an improvement from the past. I agree with Dustin, there's opportunity to increase publicity, but I want you to know that the elections process is when everyone comes out, but filling these positions mid year has never been easy. I understand that tweaks need to be made.

Goldsmith: I believe someone said that he handed in application in September, so I don't see why one extra week will make a difference in getting a lot more applicants.

Wallace: I agree with that point, again with all due respect, if we wait another week to bring him before steering, we don't have assembly next week, so we'll be waiting another two or three weeks to fill the position, and I don't know how much that will help with getting applicants, if we can fill these positions now, I say that we do that.

Lombard: He'll be missing more than 2 meetings, he seems like a great person, but we all have a duty to come here and voice on behalf of our constituents to be here. It might be nice to have someone with athletic activities to be on behalf of upua,

Smith: the interested individuals took the initiative to get their application, so we can extend the window, but the interested applicants are the ones who took the initiative.

Usnick: going off of that, seeing that he's sent in his application in September, he was willing to give us that time commitment all year, just because he has a game that day, doesn't mean he's going to miss the whole meeting. I don't think it's fair to punish him because we don't like the process.

Bonewell: from my understanding the freshman spot was very competitive, for the people selected, were they told to apply for at large position also, why were they not encouraged to apply for the at large position?

Gavin: that's assembly, not executive.

Cannon: Matt and I talked about it and they were offered the internship. So did not tell them about at large.

Loewner: I think discussions about process are pretty relevant, however, I have to come back to point that it's irresponsible to appoint someone who will miss a lot of meetings, I think we can judge his schedule and see that he has 6 games, and that's a lot of meetings. If he's assigned to ID of facilities on Tuesdays he'll miss those, or he'll miss whatever assembly meetings. It's a lot of travel time. We're all involved but we're on campus. I didn't vote for this position, because he wasn't qualified because of the absences. He's not going to be here for several meetings.

Dove: out of a pool of three, he's very good, but it's easy to look good out of pool of three. Just because someone wants to be in here doesn't mean they should be, just because he put his application in September doesn't mean anything. We should try to change this process, I don't understand how people think the process isn't really a factor, and with more people applying then you'll get better people. I think we should look to our process, that's the most important part.

Okkleberg: looking ahead, how many are we going to lose in the spring? 5. Do those get replaced? Yes. By the same process.

Miller: I agree with Dustin, the process needs to be looked at, however, we need to fill these positions, the fact that we haven't fixed it before is bad.

Wilson: I just want to clarify, we don't know he was best out of 50, but when people take imitative, they take initiatives in life, so he's the best person.

Lombard: the fact that there's only three, is not our new situation. So the facts that freshman reps now know, maybe they want to apply. I also make a motion to vote by secret ballot. Seconded.

Wallace: I agree with Josh, I think the way this process went was pretty disgraceful, 3 is terrible even if it is an improvement from past. But right now we need to fill these positions. Andrew was definitely most qualified, and that's all we can go on right now. Also it's irresponsible to assume that he'll miss an assembly meeting for a silly basketball game, I don't think we know that for certain.

Pellicciotta: for Chris, could you talk about as director of PR how you may go about fixing this situation, and if given an additional two weeks, do you think you could get more applicants.

Nock: I can, but the idea is that we have highly publicized elections in the spring, so how many people are going to come to UPUA office if they're given one more week, realistically the opportunities to yield that many people is not going to happen, it's not guaranteeing that we'll publicize the position enough to get more people.

Loewner: I'm going to urge you guys, the points about process are taking over discussion, at this point I'm trying to make my point about the fact that he's not the most qualified because he's making so many meetings.

Bard: right now we have a qualified candidate standing in front of us, and that position is empty right now, so why don't we put someone in there to start the job. We have someone in front of us right now.

Lombard: because he'll miss more than two meetings, it's really just one meeting down because we don't have meetings next week. I'll make a motion to table nomination sending it back to steering. Second.

Smith: I object. We have a qualified person in front of us tonight, I urge ID committee to look into this process, to develop a new process, and include it in our bylaws, and I think the process should be reworked, but right now we have a qualified individual tonight.

Gupta: voting to send it back to steering. 13-21-0. Fails. Continue discussion

Bonewell: on candidate. He has strong desire to do this and I want to know why didn't he just run in election last spring?

Wallace: we're discussing the candidate, Sam for you, you're saying that he is definitely not the most qualified for this position, but you were in the room and interviewed the two other candidates and we agreed that he was most qualified, it seems that you're looking for a candidate that does not exist right now. He's the best candidate for the people we interviewed for this position.

Loewner: you're right, at meeting I thought he was best out of three, but when we voted I think I made myself clear. I was impressed by this interview and his ideas, but part of qualification is being able to represent constituents through debate and votes. I don't think he'll be able to do that as well as someone else.

Dove: he's not the best candidate, someone who's missing assembly meetings is not the best candidate. The three terrible candidates, that's why we need more candidates.

Dove: everyone here, we had opportunity how can represent students, I agree that this is not good at all, they were all elected and they are not fulfilling their duties.

Bello: trying to publicize this position, it depends on who does it, being an RA is the job of getting representatives to be involved is really hard. Last week we had our third house meetings, and only two to five people, but at mine I had about 30 people attend. When I go to read stall stories, when arhs has position open it's on stall stories.

Usnick: right now I feel that a lot of people are discriminating against this candidate because he's a student athlete, so please ignore that for now, we know the process if flawed, but right now he is the best candidate, just because we wait a week we probable won't get anyone better, so let's consider it as he is a good candidate.  
 Andrews: I really think we have a lot more to benefit by allowing him to be in assembly, he has a lot to offer, in the spring semester I could miss several weeks in a row because of wrestling. Those things are not in his control, we cannot hold that against him.  
 Wallace: I just want to say that we've had 8 meetings this semester, if we don't put an at large person in tonight, he'll miss a whole semester, so I don't see why we're debating process so much when we have qualified individual that we can put in right now.  
 Smith: we can speak ambiguities all night, a go on and on, but I think we all have a good idea about merits of candidate at this point, so with that I motion to end debate. Second.  
 Lombard: I object. I believe he is very qualified and deserve to be on assembly in some form, I feel he's be good in a liaison position, so if we had a liaison position for saab, that would be good. I take back my objections.  
 Dove: I think that we should look for the best candidate, and I'm not satisfied that he's best candidate for this position.  
 Gupta: we're now voting to end debate. 33-0-3 debates ended.  
 Gupta: now we'll vote by ballots on Andrew Ott. Passes 23-9-3

Gavin swears them in...

○ Resolution 15 – Red Cross Sponsorship

Ragland: We have a great opportunity to support the Penn state red cross, the 16<sup>th</sup> annual blood drive against mich state. Briefly, I'll be giving 375 from my budget, for t-shirts and publishing the event, we'll be there helping out and stuff. Everything that they do, upua's logo will be on it, it'll be something that we have to take part in it, this is another event where we can really gain more outreach in terms of student life. Any questions?  
 Loewner: is this more an advertising opportunity or sponsorship?  
 Ragland: it depends on how you say it. Last year upua invested 750 to red cross for same reason for publication, we're taking part in the event as a whole, so we're investing in the publication aspect of it and in return we'll be recognized for that and also be given opportunity to help out at the event.  
 DISCUSSION:  
 Andrews: I move to amend line 17, striking out "and", and replacing it with "to".  
 Objections? none.  
 Holmes: motion to end debate. Second. Objections. none. Passes 35-1-0

9. Liaison Reports

- GSA –
- ARHS –

Goldsmith: at the past meeting, Stan Latta came and talked about Simmons closing. He came and talked and they're actually postponing it until spring 2011. So if you have any concerns there's a good opportunity now to talk about it. We're pumping all week for our float, you should go to the parade. Besides that, any questions?  
 Perugini: I read that the race and racism was for aids, what's that about?  
 Goldsmith: arhs just took this race over this year, and we put the money towards general diversity events. Next week we'll be having a meeting to talk about that. We're starting with that, I've e-mail lgbt so we're trying to work with them. And also women's association. We have a girl working who's in lgbt, so we're working with her too.  
 Loewner: did Dr. Latta talk about hosing and food services master plan?  
 Goldsmith: I was not aware of that, I do know they're supposed to be redoing dining commons, I'm not sure of the exact plan. I know Kayla known the plan more specifically than I do. I know that the plan, the reason they want to redo Simmons, is so that they can redo Pollock as well. Pollock really needs it. It's kind of a dominos process. Because on campus students feel that the only reason they're doing this is for more room, they're putting it off for another year to give students the opportunity to give more input. If you have concerns, e-mails Stan Latta, he's a really nice guy.

Joann Olson  
 Genifer 10 total  
 Goldsmith  
 DJ Ryan

- CCSG –

10. Report by the Association Directors and Staff

- Chief of Staff

Matt Smith: if you have any kind of products, briefs of anything you're working on, or anything you want on the website, Mark Walsh can post that for you. Student leader scholarships, I can't stress that enough. If you need recommendations for that I'd love to help you. We added another intern over the weekend, Coleen Cannon.

10 total

- Treasurer
- Media
- Assembly Affairs
- LGBTQ Affairs
- UPUA Legal Affairs
- External Affairs
- Internal Affairs
- Encampment
- Greek Life

Matt Smith  
 Justin Weiner  
 Christopher Nock  
 Samantha Miller  
 Yvette Lerma  
 Matt Lachman  
 Chris Smith  
 Matt Sheroff  
 Greg Tallman  
 Chris Caswell



Gavin: I want to say I like the historical facts on your report. Can you explain this more?  
 Matt Smith: I put USG this week, these are legit stories, you used to be able to miss up to 12 meetings, there were people who were thrown out even back then. There was a lot of criticism of that even. I just put it in there for fun.

## 11. Committee Reports

### o **Steering**

### o **Internal Development**

Dove: we had a meeting last night, discussed a few policies, one will be going to steering this week, and a couple others that we're working on for next week. Questions? None.

### o **Programming**

Wallace: we've been as a committee working on national night out, teaming up with Christian's committee, we have the stage and sound and e-mails out for that. We're looking deeper into UPUA polo shirts this week, hopefully bring legislation about that next week. Questions?

### o **Facilities**

Mance: my reports there. I met with Matt L. about getting legal affairs their own space. More on Simmons, I did e-mail Lisa W. head of food services before I knew it was pushed back another year. I have also heard that they'll be some sorts of Simmons planning committee, and it'll be important for UPUA to work to get involved in that. It's been suggested by Gavin and Mark that I meet with people in housing and food services and talk to them about it. Also, we'll have next facilities fee board meeting in two weeks, right before I came here I e-mailed president of eco action to get more info about what's going on with Redifer composting project. Sam Loewner came to our committee meeting last night and talked about Rock View land project. I'm not sure when our committee will be meeting next.

Perugini: I want to ask about Simmons, it seemed to me, how feasible, when we do the input, will they change their minds, it seemed to me that it President Spanier had basically made up his mind about what he was going to do.

Mance: I think in the future we want to get our hands on the long-term plans so that we know what's coming up. I don't want to speak too soon about Simmons, but I've heard that it's students from ARHS and Schreyer on the committee so far, so I'm going to work with Gavin and mark on with that.

### o **Academic Affairs**

Loewner: Concerns about how Smeal adds sections after the scheduling period is over, so I'm looking in to that. This week we've got like Nick said, I'm going to talk to a few committees about Rock View land, to spread awareness. AA is working on preliminary parts of its first case. Congrats to programming subcommittee, great academic council conference. Some forward looking things. IT fee money, always a challenge, but congrats to college of science, which has recently set up their IT fee board to allocate their IT fee allocation. Any questions?

Gavin: have you considered bringing Elberly college of science in just to commend them, because that's a big deal that they were able to do that. I think it would be good to celebrate their achievement. Even just to your committee.

Loewner: yeah I'll look at that.

### o **Governmental Affairs**

Smith: we've been working on a variety of different things, I'll add that we need to organize, prepare the downtown office for its official opening. We're going to try to pick a day, and if you're free that day, I'm hoping that we'll be able to go down there, organize it a bit, they'll be an e-mail coming about that shortly.

### o **Diversity Campus Life**

Ragland: two things, next week hopefully we'll have three organizations to bring before you all to recognize them in terms of their hard work. This week I started the outreach aspect of medical amnesty. I went to various student orgs on campus, getting the idea out there, and so far there's a lot of excitement about that. Next Thursday is campus night out, my committee has been working very hard to get this out, it's a response to instances that have been countering campus safety, everything that you can think of going against campus safety, we're imploring every student org to get involved. This week we'll send out an e-mail, work with PR to get this going starting tomorrow. I can say that university police are on board, borough is excited about it, UHS is on board, and we have some demonstrations. I've volunteered to be tasered. I thought it was a joke, but apparently they have it on the agenda. Hopefully I don't have a heart condition or anything. I'll send e-mail out tomorrow to see what you can bring to campus night out. It should really be a UPUA things, so other than that questions?

Boyer: what three organizations?

Ragland: men against violence, FREE, SMART – student minority advisory recruitment team. They have worked closely with us with Campus night out, so we want to encourage them. I want to give them a heads up saying hey we see what you're doing, and keep doing it. They'll be legislation about that next week.

Loewner: is there going to be a published program or agenda for campus night out?

Ragland: yes. Tonight. We wanted to make sure we got confirmation from everyone we needed. We've invited some bands, some rappers, and some dancers, for the second portion of the event. The first portion is all about engagement. It's another way for student government to say yeah we recognize the events that have happened. We're looking forward to it.

Dove: today in my women's studies class, my professor announced it.

Ragland: yeah I told them. And it thinks it's really good that those groups are excited about it. They're excited that there are students in student government that are active, and they want to follow behind us.

10 total



Mark Cannon  
Dustin Dove  
Michael Wallace  
Nick Mance  
Sam Loewner  
Colleen Smith  
Christian  
Ragland

## 12. Comments for the Good of the Order

Gupta: I'm sorry about yearbook fiasco, she e-mailed me a few times changing her mind, so I'm sorry about that. Next week is when they want to take pictures of committee meetings or anything, so

0.25

please e-mail me and let me know if you want them to come. During assembly, when you all raise your hands, I'm not ignoring you, I have to call on you based on who goes first, so you can have your hand up for a long time, so I'm sorry about that. Lastly, if you cannot use your id to get into office, e-mail me and let me know so that I can add you to the list. Let me know about that.

Ragland: one of the things we've been working on, we have resources on assembly who deal with this, so I'm going to give it to Josh. We're thinking about next week doing something like straight talks. I would just encourage people to go to something like this.

Miler: I was hoping we could get assembly to come to a straight talk next Wednesday

Bonewell: homecoming week, that's why I've attended in sweats. It's sad that our organization isn't more involved in homecoming. Next year we should participate more.

Dove: tonight's meeting got me excited that we were all debating. I was excited about that, so lets keep that up. It's progress. Thank you for that.

Wilson: Tj and I got started, we got in touch with east halls stall stories, and we're posted on there this week. We're working with Christian to get the word out to freshman about campus night out.

Gavin: about homecoming, we have a rep from assembly, Kara Zinger and two exec members on the court. I've talked to Greg, they've decided to adopt strategy Get up for Greg, Vote for Sam, so I encourage everyone to go vote for those two in particular.

### 13. Closing Roll Call and Adjournment

Adjourned 10:22

Vicki Marone

---

#### OTHER INFORMATION

**Advisor:** Mary Edgington, Sr. Director for Union and Student Activities